

**TOWNSHIP OF BERKELEY HEIGHTS
UNION COUNTY, NEW JERSEY
COUNCIL MEETING HELD FEBRUARY 24, 2015**

CALL TO ORDER

At 7:00 p.m., Mayor Woodruff called the meeting to order and stated that adequate notice of this meeting had been provided by posting the same on the bulletin board of Town Hall and forwarding a copy to the Courier News and Star Ledger at least forty-eight hours prior to the meeting, all in accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act. This meeting would not go substantially past 10:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore, Mr. Pirone and Mayor Woodruff answered present. Mrs. Kingsley was absent. Also present was Township Attorney Joseph Sordillo, Township Administrator John Bussiculo and Township Clerk Ana Minkoff.

EXECUTIVE SESSION - 1) Potential Litigation – Affordable Housing Compliance

Moved by Mr. Pastore to go into Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Pirone and upon roll call vote:
(7:03 p.m.)

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore, Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

FLAG SALUTE

Mayor Woodruff asked everyone present to stand as he led the Flag Salute.

TOWNSHIP COUNCIL REPORTS

Mr. Delia – Mr. Delia wanted to reiterate his statements from last meeting, specifically, his concerns regarding the parking by Sub Zone. Mr. Delia would like to get support from the Council to change the current parking to a two to three hour limit (from Station Street to Berkeley Avenue.) Mr. Hall asked if there had been complaints filed, either commercial or residential. Mr. Delia said no complaints had been filed. He continued, stating that snow plowing is affected and people are parking there without paying for train permits (commuters.) Mr. Delia stated that this parking situation is not helping nearby businesses. Mr. Faecher said he would like to look at the area and asked for the specific streets. Mr. Delia responded that the area was Springfield Avenue from the Sub Zone, to the Car Wash, to Berkeley Collision. He reiterated that the requested change be to limit parked vehicles to a two or three hour parking limit. Council discussion ensued about this being a good idea, but not rushing the change (Mr. Pirone) the CVS application (Mr. Hall,) and separating the Sub Zone area parking issue from other town parking issue or keeping it together (Mayor Woodruff.)

Township Council Minutes
Public Meeting – February 24, 2015

Mr. Hall – Mr. Hall had nothing to report.

Mr. Faecher – Mr. Faecher had nothing to report.

Mr. Pirone – Mr. Pirone had nothing to report.

Mr. Pastore – Mr. Pastore had nothing to report.

Mrs. Kingsley – Mrs. Kingsley was absent.

ADMINISTRATION REPORTS

Mr. Bussiculo – Mr. Bussiculo reported on the following: 1) The Communications Committee will work with Administration regarding cameras; 2) Reaching out to New Providence revealed that they “farm” theirs out for \$300/meeting. New Providence does not own any equipment. Long Hill has also provided a contact. We will also get camera quotes from vendors; 3) There have been two unsuccessful attempts to secure a meeting with Mrs. Rattner’s office; 4) A draft Handbook was received today from Mr. Patterson; 5) Mr. Patterson is working on a summary form for PBA and SOA; 6) Collins the Locksmith was in for the front door issue. They discussed the idea of a security glass door, buzzer, and camera with an approximate cost of \$4,000; 7) The card reader work at DPW would cost about \$25,000. Currently, we cannot tell who is using the gas pump; 8) We have received three bids for GPS; including a state supplier, with a \$20/month fee and no installation charge. He proposed GPS for 10 or 12 vehicles; 9) A bid will go out to get a) grass cut, and b) bathrooms cleaned, by an outside company. New Providence has been doing this for 5 or 6 years and saved a lot of money; 10) The DPW office will be ordered this week; 11) A Building Inspector form has been created showing time inspector left, where they went, time they come back and signature; 12) The Finance phone lines are completed; Rolldown door is next; 13) The Hotbox has been out twice to repair roads despite the cold weather; DPW is spending much time clearing ice; and 14) Ten (10) bids have been received on Glenside reconstruction.

Mayor Woodruff – The Mayor asked Mr. Hall to give an update report on the Redevelopment (i.e., where we are “at” and what needs to occur in the next few weeks.)

Mr. Hall spoke about a) the Little Flower land swap issue and different alternatives, b) Mr. Delia’s request to look at this property, and c) Mr. Delia’s meeting with Mr. Ramentol (architect.) He continued that there are 3 actionable items: 1) survey of the property (now completed;) 2) Mr. Ramentol is now taking the footprint of the survey to present different opportunities and different construct costs, and during April, Mr. Ramentol will present; 3) It is expected that during May, Harbor Consulting will present a comprehensive presentation which will include Traffic, Environmental, Economic, and Tax impacts of different scenarios. This information will allow the Township to see the opportunities and costs. In summary, Mr. Hall continued: 1) survey is done; 2) Mr. Ramentol presentation will occur in April and 3) Harbor Consulting presentation will occur during the month of May.

Mayor Woodruff reported that Mr. Bussiculo and he have been meeting with different corporate entities to see what type of assistance we can both provide to each other (i.e., Summit Medical Group, the new management at Runnells.) The Mayor spoke about being in the middle of the Budget considerations. About \$1.1 to \$1.2 million is projected for the improvements of roads. There are 15 roads on “line” to be improved (this initiative is an extension from last year’s 5 year commitment to improve the roads.) Mayor Woodruff has been in touch with the County regarding Mountain Avenue’s condition. The Mayor spoke about the previous night’s Budget meeting, where they learned that the County has now commandeered

**Township Council Minutes
Public Meeting – February 24, 2015**

utility poles on County roads for purposes of hanging signs for announcements. The Mayor continued that we have to figure a way to erect our own poles for our own advertising (a problem that needs to be addressed.) The Mayor spoke about recent budget concerns and considerations with respect to the Communications Committee and furthered that there are two approaches: 1) improving the Township website and 2) obtaining cameras for Council Chambers, which would be used for filming events, including council meetings

Moved by Mr. Hall to accept and approve the Township Council and Administration Reports, seconded by Mr. Pirone and upon roll call vote.

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore, and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: Mrs. Kingsley
Absent: None

The Mayor announced that Mrs. Kingsley became ill today and therefore the vote was pulled on the “Sussex Road” issue. However, he stated, that the “issue” would still be discussed that night.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Moved by Mr. Delia to approve the following minutes, seconded by Mr. Pastore and upon roll call vote:

Public Meeting – December 16, 2014

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore, and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: Mr. Faecher
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

HEARING ON AGENDA ITEMS ONLY

Moved by Mr. Pirone to open the Hearing on Agenda Items Only, seconded by Mr. Pastore and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Sue Johnson, 29 Chestnut Hill Drive, stated that she came last Fall requesting that Chestnut Hill Drive not be included in the No Parking ban. Her comments included the following 1) Chestnut Hill is almost half a mile (and up hill) to the high school; 2) There is not a problem with overflow parking (except maybe for graduation and a home Thanksgiving Day game;) 3) When she left the Council meeting last fall, she thought that Chestnut Hill would not be included in the ban; 4) She is not happy with the proposal now to include Chestnut Hill Drive in the ban; 5) Students don’t want to park on Chestnut Hill Drive; 6) The fire hydrants already limit the parking on the street; 7) The street is currently a nice, wide street and no signs are wanted. 8) She will now have to have visitors park in front of her neighbor’s house;

**Township Council Minutes
Public Meeting – February 24, 2015**

9) Ms. Johnson closed with the request to “pull” Chestnut Hill and wait and see what happens; 10) She also requested that she be informed when parking issues are going to be discussed which affect her neighborhood (similar to laws regarding nearby residential building.)

Ron Cantor, 114 Chestnut Hill Drive, said 1) he seconded what Sue Johnson said, and voiced a strong opposition to the proposed no parking signs on Chestnut Hill 2) as Ms. Johnson stated, the parking ban doesn’t seem to be necessary; 3) in regards to a concern for particular events, the Temporary No Parking signs should be installed; 4) The proposal will be detrimental to their street and inconvenient to the residents of Chestnut Hill Drive.

Michael Burke read a statement from Mr. Genco, 31 Sussex Road (who had to travel out of state.) The statement’s comments included the following: 1) This is the third season of Sussex Road serving as a GL auxilliary parking lot; 2) Juniors and Seniors regularly park on Sussex Drive (it was once just Juniors;) 3) Now, in early February, there are 19 cars on the street. Last June, the number reached over 45 cars; 4) We spoke with the former mayor, members of the Town Council and the Board of Education; 5) While the Board of Education planned to add spots, but there has not been a need for parking spots as parking is allowed on Sussex Road (i.e., there is no unmet need;) 6) We need the same situation as these roads: Somerset, Mercer, Murray Hill Boulevard and Watchung Road, i.e., no parking on one side of the street and limited parking on the other side. This suggested scenario will force the students to park where they belong, i.e., Board of Education property; 7) If the current situation continues (i.e., no parking on one side of the street and unlimited parking on the other side of the street,) every legal spot on the street, will be occupied by GL students by 7:30 a.m. (thus making no legal parking for residents, visitors, tradespeople, delivery vehicles, etc.) 8) The residents are denied basic rights in favor of people who do not live on the street; 9) The battle has been going on for 3 years and they will continue to fight if must.

Michael Burke added his own thoughts stating 1) he appreciates the time and effort that Council spends on this issue; 2) he is perplexed by the amount of time and energy that has been spent on this. The school has more than enough parking. It has been demonstrated that they can use the spots more effectively, more efficiently. They did not have this situation until almost 3 years ago; 3) 10 to 15% of the parking spots are open on any given day and are open for most of the day (it’s been documented extensively.) 4) All that has been asked for their road (and they didn’t mean to impact any other roads in the neighborhood) is targeted parking restrictions for a few hours a day on school days only; 5) Mr. Burke asked that “we” look at page 3, paragraph 6 for Columbia Avenue, subparagraphs A and C and discussed this section; and 6) restated verbiage from their June 10, 2013 letter, i.e., give residents “...the same courtesy that Township residents typically are afforded that live in the vicinity of a public facility....” (citing specific parking hours for school days only.)

Gary Peters of 39 Sussex Road. He made the following comments 1) Without wanting to reiterate, this is their third season they have gone through this; 2) they asked for nothing more than the equivalent appreciation and discipline against the rules than the other roads closer to the school are receiving (and cited the hours and days;) 3) this morning, the street (with snow) wouldn’t allow for a small car to pass; There would have been no room for a fire truck or emergency vehicle (impossible;) 4) The kids are “doing as best that they can;” 5) The students are driving quickly up the street, turning in the cul de sac, at high speeds and then find a spot. The situation is dangerous and ridiculous that we have to deal with this every day; 6) There are extra spots on the school property; 7) Mr. Peters asked for “consideration” again; Discussion ensued regarding coordinates; Mr. Peters’ trouble in moving the car out of his driveway; their street is no longer an auxilliary parking lot, i.e., it is the parking lot of the high school!

Township Council Minutes
Public Meeting – February 24, 2015

Discussion continued as Mr. Faecher said he had been in this area about 12 times since being on the Council and agreed with Mr. Peters that the situation is untenable. The Mayor asked the residents for further feedback and they responded.

A resident from Crest Drive (at corner of Sussex) spoke: 1) there is no place to put her recycling; 2) Someone lost control of their car in front of her home and destroyed her property. She called the police and reported them; She believes that the next time, the car will go through her bedroom; 4) Someone is going to get hurt. The situation is getting worse; 5) The students are new drivers and inexperienced. 6) She is scared;

Cindy Reese of 17 Crest Drive. Ms. Reese stated that she lives on the side of the street where parking will be permitted. Her comments included the following: 1) She asked where the safety report is (that cost \$10,000.) Council and Administration discussion ensued that the Safety Report had been made available, and the two types of reports which had been written are available through the Clerk's office. 2) In regards to her understanding of the drafted ordinance, she has concerns about limited sight. There is much foot, animal, car traffic at the end of a school day and there will be limited sight because of the cars parked in the road way. This is a "disaster" waiting to happen; 3) She doesn't know why we are asking kids to come up to the top of a cul de sac to park on another side of the street. She doesn't understand how an emergency vehicle could turn around in the cul de sac with students parking on one side of it. She instead would recommend a few hours of no parking. There seems to be a lot of activity when a simple solution can be "had."

Ms. LuAnn Peters of 39 Sussex Road, stated 1) her husband had spoken but "you need to hear it from me," that she has a big problem backing out of her driveway; 2) she has asked students to move their car. The students have moved their cars (very nicely) but they aren't telling other students; 3) She stated that she pays a lot of taxes to live on a double dead end street; 4) Her house is no longer a haven. She continued that she is very stressed every morning as she tries to (needs extra time) think how will she get out without hitting anyone; 5) It's not fair to have students park there for 7 hours. What happened for all the other years, i.e., where did they park? 6) Please take her comments into consideration. This situation is truly detrimental, not just the activity, but we are concerned for our own safety.

Donna Glenn of 100 Chestnut Hill Drive. She acknowledged that many people had spoken already but wanted to reiterate what Ron and Sue had said: there is no problem on Chestnut Hill but a problem will be created by limiting the parking for people who live there.

Steven Yellin of 130 Dogwood Lane had two questions, 1) isn't the purpose of the parking spaces that have now been built at GL designed to alleviate this situation. Council discussion ensued; 2) In regards to the other proposed ordinance, he "crunched" the numbers on the salary numbers. Discussion ensued regarding the 2% salary cap, and "pushing to 3 years."

Hearing no voices, moved by Mr. Pirone to close the Hearing on Agenda Items Only, seconded by Mr. Pastore and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone.
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

NEW BUSINESS- RESOLUTIONS

OFFICIAL ACTION WILL BE TAKEN ON THE FOLLOWING:

RESOLUTIONS

Mr. Hall introduced the following resolution:

1. Resolution approving Bill List dated February 24, 2014 in the amount of \$398,333.95.

Moved by Mr. Hall to approve resolution #1, seconded by Mr. Faecher and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Mr. Pastore introduced the following resolution:

2. Resolution authorizing tax settlement with PSEG Services Corp., for Block 902, Lot 11.

Moved by Mr. Pastore to approve resolution #2, seconded by Mr. Pirone and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: Mr. Delia
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Mr. Delia introduced the following resolution:

3. Resolution authorizing tax settlement with PSEG Services Corp., for Block 1901, Lot 34.

Moved by Mr. Delia to approve resolution #3, seconded by Mr. Pirone and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: Mr. Delia
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

**Township Council Minutes
Public Meeting – February 24, 2015**

Mr. Faecher introduced the following resolution:

4. Resolution authorizing tax settlement with PSEG Services Corp., for Block 2201, Lot 20.

Moved by Mr. Faecher to approve resolution #4, seconded by Mr. Pastore and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: Mr. Delia
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Mr. Hall introduced the following resolution:

5. Resolution authorizing tax settlement with PSEG Services Corp., for Block 1502 Lot 45.

Moved by Mr. Hall to approve resolution #5, seconded by Mr. Pirone and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: Mr. Delia
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Mr. Pirone introduced the following resolution:

6. Resolution authorizing tax settlement with PSEG Services Corp., for Block 2303, Lot 20.

Moved by Mr. Pirone to approve resolution #6, seconded by Mr. Pastore and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: Mr. Delia
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Mr. Pastore introduced the following resolution:

7. Resolution authorizing tax settlement with PSEG Services Corp., for Block 3303, Lot 13.

Moved by Mr. Pastore to approve resolution #7, seconded by Mr. Hall and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: Mr. Delia
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Mr. Pirone introduced the following resolution:

8. Resolution authorizing transfers within the 2014 Municipal Budget and Reserves.

Moved by Mr. Pirone to approve resolution #8, seconded by Mr. Pastore and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Mr. Faecher introduced the following resolution:

9. Resolution authorizing the Collective Bargaining Agreement with the Superior Officers Association.

Moved by Mr. Faecher to approve resolution #9, seconded by Mr. Pastore and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

CONSENT AGENDA:

All matters listed under Consent Agenda are considered routine by the Township Council and will be enacted upon by one motion; there will be no separate discussion of these items. If discussion is desired, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

Mr. Pastore introduced the following resolution:

(10)

- a. Resolution authorizing the Tax Collector to refund the following 2014 tax overpayments:
Brian & Kelly Radano 120 River Bend Road \$147.03

Moved by Mr. Pastore to approve Consent Agenda resolution #10a, seconded by Mr. Faecher and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

PARKING ORDINANCE DISCUSSION:

Mr. Hall opened the discussion stating that some of the residents have asked why their streets are included in the proposed new legislation. Mr. Hall spoke about the ordinance's background, i.e., the Council asking both 1) the Police Department and 2) Harbor Consultants for recommendations regarding the safety and planning issues near the streets at GL. Mr. Faecher thanked everyone for coming out tonight. He continued that there are two components to the issue (as Mr. Hall stated:) the safety piece and the parking problem. He discussed the knowledge of both Lieutenant Dietch and the Police Chief regarding these traffic and safety issues. Mr. Faecher stated that our officers are telling us from a safety perspective: we need this to happen. In regards to the parking issue, Mr. Faecher spoke about the time restrictions that residents have spoken about (similar to other roads.) The study found that roads like Mercer and Murray Hill Boulevard would see a removal of time restrictions. The study evaluated a traffic issue not quality of life issues. Mr. Faecher acknowledged that the quality of life issues are significant. Mr. Faecher said he had been to the street at least a dozen times and agreed, "you can't get out of there." Mr. Faecher proposed: 1) Leave the time restriction on Mercer on Murray Hill Boulevard. The study recommended the students stay on campus. For whatever reason, the kids don't park on campus; 2) Put time restrictions on Sussex Road;

Mr. Faecher spoke about the implications of this ordinance, i.e., setting a precedent to "spot zone" parking. He continued that this ordinance can be justified and defended as the situation is unique: 1) high school kids learning to drive; 2) a change in "the law" about 4 years ago, where the number of students in a car has been reduced to a driver and one other (thereby increasing number of vehicles;) and 3) while there are spots in the GL lot, the kids aren't parking there; 4) the problem will get worse as kids get older and turn 17 years.

Therefore, Mr. Faecher would take the ordinance and make 3 modifications: that is time restricted parking for the Eastern side of Mercer, the Eastern side of Murray Hill and the entire Eastern side of Sussex from the cul de sac to its terminus (past Crest.) Discussion ensued regarding eliminating Chestnut Hill. Further discussion ensued regarding no parking on both sides of Murray Hill Boulevard.

Mr. Faecher spoke about the suggestion of police officers putting up emergency (temporary) signs, and that this doesn't work (for several reasons,) i.e., at least 8 "man" hours are required for every event. In addition, 1) due to people ripping down signs, the signage is hard to enforce and 2) since cars are towed, additional overtime is incurred.

A resident initiated discussion regarding Chestnut Hill being included for a safety issue, as she didn't think there was a safety issue on Chestnut Hill. Mr. Faecher said he believed the officers' concern regarding Chestnut Hill was a traffic flow issue. Discussion ensued regarding Ford Place and whether the situation was similar to Chestnut Hill.

Mr. Pirone spoke about the planner's view of the solution (short term.). A long term solution would be to increase the parking at GL. Mr. Pirone spoke about parking on the four streets leading up to the four entrances to the school and if there is parking allowed, students will park on the street (i.e., laziness and the closest point.) Mr. Pirone said that Acting Chief DiPasquale stated that his department looked at this issue from a safety perspective, not a quality of life perspective. Therefore, Mr. Pirone is in favor of Mr. Faecher's proposal, i.e., time restricted parking. Mr. Pirone stated that quality of life issues matter.

**Township Council Minutes
Public Meeting – February 24, 2015**

Mr. Delia stated that he would like to eliminate Chestnut Hill, and possibly Ford Place. Mr. Hall spoke the proposed changes to the Ordinance and passing these changes back to the Police and Harbor. Mr. Hall spoke about: 1) this decision, 2) elected officials decisions have to touch on many points (i.e., safety, sustainability, viability, affordability, the sense of community;) 3) we must recognize the activity of the Board of Education (elected, unilateral authority to dictate policy including what happens on their premise); and 4) Harbor Consultant's analysis that our work is a short term gap and a longer term solution requires joint action with the Board of Education. Mr. Hall stated that they have a balancing act in adopting an ordinance, i.e., fairness, the rights of people with cars and drivers licenses, the functionality of the neighborhoods (and any ordinance must address the functionality of these neighborhoods.) Generally speaking, Mr. Hall supported Mr. Faecher's argument for time restrictions but is not supportive of the Crest issue and he "touched" on the Chestnut issue.

Mr. Delia spoke about his concerns regarding Sussex and Crest (both inconvenience and safety concerns.) Mr. Delia is very concerned about Sussex and supports time restrictions.

Mr. Faecher believes the changes must be done in the aggregate and thus "fix" the entire area. The Mayor stated that we have not taken this issue lightly and appreciates the Citizens' input. He stated that Mr. Mistretta and the Police have worked very hard to get the "balance." The Mayor asked the Council to bring this to a conclusion.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES

Public Hearing and Final Adoption scheduled for March 10, 2015.

The Clerk presented and read:

**(1) "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM
RATE OF SALARY AND COMPENSATION OF ELECTED AND
APPOINTED TOWNSHIP OFFICIALS, AND NON-UNION
EMPLOYEES IN THE VARIOUS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENTS OF
THE TOWNSHIP OF BERKELEY HEIGHTS."**

Moved by Mr. Pastore that the ordinance as read by the Township Clerk be offered on the first reading, that March 10, 2015 be set as the date for public hearing and that the Township Clerk is directed to advertise same in the Courier News, seconded by Mr. Pirone and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Township Council Minutes
Public Meeting – February 24, 2015

CITIZENS HEARING

Moved by Mr. Pirone to open the Citizens hearing, seconded by Mr. Pirone and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore, and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Robert McManus of 1105 Mountain Avenue spoke about the Council Chamber cameras. He asked about the ordinance (from 1980) of Comcast putting in cameras. Discussion ensued whether that was still an existing offer from Comcast. Mr. McManus believed that the offer occurred between 1978 and 1984. In regards to the banner issue, Mr. McManus spoke about the Rotary's concern and the hanging of signs and poles (and the Mayor suggested that Mr. McManus work with Mr. Bussiculo on this issue.) Mr. McManus spoke about Mr. Delia's suggestion for time restricted parking on Springfield Avenue. He will work with the Chamber on this issue.

Ron Cantor of 114 Chestnut Hill Drive wanted it to be known that there is a very serious icing issue on Chestnut Hill Drive (towards Gallinson.) He would like this to be addressed. Discussion ensued about the spring which needs to be "dug up." It is ground water.

Steven Yellen of 130 Dogwood Lane stated that we are losing Jorge Casacuberta and spoke about what an excellent Township employee he has been, albeit with limited staff and limited resources. He thanked Mr. Casacuberta and wished him success in Piscataway.

Hearing no voices, moved by Mr. Pirone to close the Citizens Hearing, seconded by Mr. Pastore and upon roll call vote:

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher, Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Mr. Hall to adjourn, seconded by Mr. Pirone and upon roll call vote
(9:28 p.m.)

Ayes: Mr. Delia, Mr. Faecher Mr. Hall, Mr. Pastore and Mr. Pirone
Nays: None
Abstain: None
Absent: Mrs. Kingsley

Approved: May 5, 2015